

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for the comments in the Office Action, which has been carefully considered. It is respectfully submitted that all issues raised are traversed, being hereinafter addressed with reference to the relevant headings appearing in the Detailed Action section of the Office Action.

The Applicant has amended the claim set. The Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments to the claim set are fully supported by the originally filed specification.

Claim Objections

Claim 30 has been canceled from the current application. The Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the claim objection.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102 & 103

The Applicant has amended claim 1 to specify that the printing and display device comprises a housing being detached from a computer system used in conjunction with the printing and display device, the housing having an ejection slot in a base wall of the housing. Furthermore claim 1 has clarified that the flat panel display and the printer is disposed within the housing. Additionally, claim 1 has been amended to specify that the paper is ejected via the ejection slot of the housing. Support for this amendment can be found in relation to figure 9 and figure 14 of the specification.

The Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 as currently amended is patentable over Saito *et al.* (US Patent No. 5,731,829) and Minemoto *et al.* (US Patent No. 6,188,569), alone or in combination. In particular, there is no teaching or suggestion in either of these documents of a flat panel display and a printer being housed within the same housing which includes as ejection slot in a base wall of the housing for ejecting the printed paper. Additionally, the Applicant notes that the information processing apparatus described in Saito *et al* is a combination of a display device and processing system in an integral unit. This contrasts to the current application wherein the housing of the printing and display

device is configured to be detached from a computer system used in conjunction with the printing and display device as currently specified by the currently amended claims.

Based on these reasons, the Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1, as currently amended, is patentable over the above-mentioned citations.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the claim rejections is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Applicant requests a Notice of Allowance of all the claims presently under examination.

Very respectfully,

Applicant/s:



Kia Silverbrook

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762